



Interview Summary

Application No. **09/360,199**

Applicant(s)

Gauldie

Examiner

Richard Schnizer

Art Unit **1635**

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):
(1) Richard Schnizer	(3)
(2) Tim Van Dyke	
Date of Interview Sep 11, 2002	
Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference c) Personal [copy is given to 1) applicant	2) applicant's representative]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) \square Yes	e) 🗵 No. If yes, brief description:
Claim(s) discussed:	
Identification of prior art discussed:	
Agreement with respect to the claims $f)\square$ was reached. $g)\boxtimes$ was not reached. $h)\square$ N/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general any other comments:	I nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or
Enablement and art issyes were discussed. Examiner suggested trhat microbial and viral antigens appeared more enabled	
than tumor antigens.	
	dments which the examiner agreed would render the claims copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is
i) $oxtimes$ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa	rate record of the substance of the interview (if box is checked).
INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MF already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM	MAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST PEP section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has OM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE rd of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required